

# Upper Limits on Central Black Hole Masses of Globular Clusters from Radio Emission and a Possible Black Hole Detection in the Ursa Minor Dwarf Galaxy

Thomas J. Maccarone & Robert P. Fender

*Astronomical Institute “Anton Pannekoek”, University of Amsterdam, Kruislaan 403, 1098 SJ, Amsterdam, The Netherlands*

Anastasios K. Tzioumis

*Australia Telescope National Facility, CSIRO, Post Office Box 76, Epping NSW 1710, Australia*

## ABSTRACT

Intermediate mass black holes have been alternatively predicted to be quite common in the centers of globular clusters or nearly impossible to form and retain in the centers of globular clusters. As it has been recently shown that radio observations are currently the most sensitive observational technique for detecting such objects, we have obtained new deep radio observations of Omega Cen, and have re-analyzed older observations of M 15 in hope of constraining the masses of possible black holes in their centers. In both cases, upper limits of about  $100 \mu\text{Jy}$  are found at GHz frequencies. We find that if the Bondi-Hoyle accretion rate truly represents the spherical accretion rate onto a black hole, then the masses of the black holes in the centers of these two galaxies are severely constrained - with mass limits of less than about 100 solar masses in both cases. If more realistic assumptions are made based on recent work showing the Bondi rate to be a severe overestimate, then the data for Omega Cen are marginally consistent with a black hole of about 1/1000 the cluster’s mass (i.e. about  $1000 M_{\odot}$ ). The data for M 15 then are only marginally consistent with previous reports of a  $\sim 2000$  solar mass black hole, and we note that there is considerable hope for either detecting the black hole or improving this upper limit with current instrumentation. Finally, we discuss the possibility that the radio source near the core of the Ursa Minor dwarf spheroidal galaxy is a  $\sim 10^4 M_{\odot}$  black hole.

**Key words:** globular clusters:general – globular clusters:individual:Omega Cen – accretion, accretion discs – black hole physics – radio continuum:general

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Intermediate mass black holes may represent the link between the stellar mass black holes seen in the Milky Way (which are probably all less massive than  $20 M_{\odot}$ ) and the supermassive black holes thought to exist in the centers of galaxies (which are mostly more massive than  $10^6 M_{\odot}$ , but see e.g. Greene & Ho 2004 for a sample of AGN whose black hole masses are likely to be a few hundred thousand solar masses and Fillipenko & Ho 2003 for the discussion of an especially low mass AGN). It is possible that the AGN have grown from  $\sim 100 - 1000 M_{\odot}$  formed from core collapses of Population III stars (see e.g. Fryer, Woosley & Heger 2001). On the other hand, another natural place to produce these intermediate mass black holes is in the centers of globular clusters (Miller & Hamilton 2002) or young dense star clusters (Portegies Zwart & McMillan 2002; Portegies Zwart et al. 2004; Gürkan, Freitag & Rasio 2004).

Several methods have been considered for proving the exis-

tence of these intermediate mass black holes, but to date, there is no conclusive evidence for the existence of a black hole in the  $10^2$ - $10^4 M_{\odot}$  range. Attempts have been made to associate some or all of the ultraluminous X-ray sources with intermediate mass black holes on various bases - X-ray spectra showing cool discs yet high luminosities compared to what would be expected from a stellar mass black hole (e.g. Miller et al. 2003), quasi-periodic oscillations similar to those observed from stellar mass black holes, except at a frequency an order of magnitude lower (Strohmayer & Mushotzky 2003), and the association of one of the brightest ULXs (in fact the same one showing the low frequency QPO) with a young dense star cluster which is a plausible birth location for this source (Portegies Zwart et al. 2004) and a plausible scenario for the evolution of an X-ray binary with such a black hole has been laid out as well.

Some work has suggested that gravitational radiation recoil should lead to the ejection, rather than merger of unequal mass black holes (e.g. Redmount & Rees 1989; Favata, Hughes & Holz

2004; Merritt et al. 2004; Madau & Quataert 2004), which would suggest that build-up of black holes through mergers in the centers of globular clusters should be ineffective. The most recent work (Favata et al. 2004) suggests that the recoil kicks should be of order 10-100 km/sec; since several of the Milky Way's globular clusters have escape velocities from their cores as large as 50-100 km/sec (Gnedin et al. 2002), it is plausible that some clusters can grow black holes through mergers, although the fraction of such clusters might be rather small (see e.g. Merritt et al. 2004). Newtonian dynamical interactions may also eject black holes by releasing gravitational potential energy when binaries are hardened (Portegies Zwart & McMillan 2000). However, these calculations are usually simplified, and neglect factors such as the possible effects of gas, which can be quite important, for example, in the mergers of super-massive black holes in galaxies (e.g. Escala et al. 2004). Given that whether globular clusters can grow intermediate mass black holes is an important theoretical question, attempts to search for observational signatures of such objects are well motivated.

Attempts to identify signatures of the influence of a black hole on stellar orbits have a rather spotty record. Claims have been made for intermediate mass black holes in the globular cluster G1 in M 31 and in M 15 in our own Galaxy (Gebhardt, Rich & Ho 2002; van der Marel et al. 2002; Gerssen et al. 2002), but the statistical significance of these detections is less than  $3\sigma$ , and more sophisticated treatments of stellar dynamics found that the mass concentration observed at the center of M 15 could be explained by a concentration of heavy stellar remnants (i.e. neutron stars and white dwarfs - Baumgardt et al. 2003; Gerssen et al. 2003). In fact, it has been argued that many globular clusters may simply not have enough stars to probe the gravitational potential well enough ever to conclusively prove the existence of a black hole (Drukier & Bailyn 2003), motivating other search techniques.

Long ago it was suggested that black holes in the center of globular clusters should accrete gas, and perhaps produce observable X-ray emission (Bahcall & Ostriker 1975). Their original suggestion that IMBHs should dominate the X-ray emission has been proven false by the detection of orbital periods and Type I X-ray bursts (see Liu, van Paradijs & van den Heuvel and references within); however, the basic idea that an accreting black hole should be detectable from its X-ray emission has been re-stimulated by the capability of the *Chandra X-ray Observatory* to resolve out sensitively the X-ray emission from the very center of globular clusters. Searches have been made for X-ray emission from the accretion onto possible central black holes, but with only upper limits found (Grindlay et al. 2001; Ho, Terashima & Makishima 2003). Unfortunately, these upper limits are not currently particularly constraining of theoretical models, and they already approach closely the limits of current X-ray instrumentation.

Recent advances in our understanding of accretion and its relation with relativistic jet formation indicate that the most sensitive waveband for detecting low luminosity intermediate mass black holes is, in fact, the radio. As the luminosity of accretion onto a black hole decreases, the ratio of radio to X-ray power increases (Gallo, Fender & Pooley 2003); the ratio of radio to X-ray power also increases with increasing black hole mass (Falcke & Biermann 1996, 1999; Merloni, Heinz & Di Matteo 2003; Falcke, Körding & Markoff 2004). Furthermore, as accretion theory is now suggesting that the Bondi-Hoyle (1944) rate overestimates the actual accretion rate by 2-3 orders of magnitude (e.g. Perna et al. 2003), the X-ray luminosities from accretion of the interstellar medium by intermediate mass black holes in globular clusters are likely to be well below detection limits of currently existing X-ray observa-

tories, but given the prediction of Miller & Hamilton (2002) that the black holes should be about 0.1% of the total cluster mass the radio luminosities of the brightest cluster central black holes may be detectable with existing instrumentation (Maccarone 2004). The idea of constraining the masses of black holes based on combined measurements of their X-ray and radio emission has also been put forth by Merloni (2004) in the context of high redshift AGN, where dynamical measurements are also not likely to be possible for quite some time. In this paper, we present the upper limits on the radio luminosity of the nucleus of Omega Centauri from an Australian Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) observation, and reconsider the implications of past upper limits on radio emission from VLA searches for pulsars, and consider the implications of these results for the possible masses of central black holes. We also apply the same methodology in order to discuss the possibility that an source found by the NRAO VLA Sky Survey (NVSS) may be an intermediate mass black hole near the center of the of the Ursa Minor dwarf spheroidal galaxy.

## 2 PREDICTED LUMINOSITIES

The method for predicting the radio and X-ray luminosities of an accreting black hole in a globular cluster was set forth in Maccarone (2004). There it was shown that radio emission provides a much more sensitive means of detecting low luminosity, intermediate (or higher) mass black holes, as have been speculated to exist in globular clusters. Here we briefly review the assumptions and summarize the results:

- Black hole mass of 1/1000 of the globular cluster's stellar mass (Miller & Hamilton 2002)
- Gas density of  $0.15 \text{ H cm}^{-3}$ , approximately the value estimated from pulsar dispersion measures in M 15 and 47 Tuc, and expected from stellar mass loss (Freire et al. 2001).
- Accretion at 0.1-1% of the Bondi rate, due to disk winds (e.g. Blandford & Begelman 1999) and/or convection (e.g. Quataert & Gruzinov 2000), calculated from numerical simulations of low luminosity flows (e.g. Hawley, Balbus & Stone 2001; Igumenshchev, Narayan & Abramowicz 2003), and constrained by observations of low luminosity AGN in elliptical galaxies and the lack of observations of isolated neutron stars accreting from the interstellar medium (Perna et al. 2003 and references within). The low end of this range is in good agreement with the constraints on the accretion rate in Sagittarius A\* derived from millimeter polarization measurements (see e.g. Bower et al. 2003).
- A radiative efficiency in the X-rays of:

$$\eta = (0.1) \times \left( 1 + \frac{A^2}{2L_{\text{tot}}} - A \sqrt{\frac{A^2}{4L_{\text{tot}}^2} + \frac{1}{L_{\text{tot}}}} \right), \quad (1)$$

with  $A$  a constant to be fitted from observations (and being larger when the jet's kinetic power is a larger fraction of the total accretion power), and  $L_{\text{tot}}$  the radiative plus kinetic luminosity of the system in Eddington units, as used by Fender, Gallo & Jonker (2003) to explain the  $L_X - L_R$  correlation observed by Gallo, Fender & Pooley (2003), with the idea being that enough kinetic power is pumped into a jet to make the accretion flow radiatively inefficient (see also, e.g. Malzac, Merloni & Fabian 2004). Whether the radiative inefficiency is due to mass and energy loss into a jet or also partially due to advection into the black hole (e.g. Ichimaru 1977; Narayan & Yi 1994) is not clearly established by these relations, and does not affect the results presented here. We have set  $A = 6 \times 10^{-3}$

for these calculations, which is based on a conservative estimate of the jet power. This relation is used to convert a calculated accretion rate (from the assumed fraction of the Bondi-Hoyle rate) into a calculated X-ray luminosity.

- The fundamental plane relationship among X-ray luminosity, radio luminosity and black hole mass of Merloni et al. (2003), parameterized for convenient applications to Galactic globular clusters:

$$F_{5GHz} = 10 \left( \frac{L_x}{3 \times 10^{31} \text{ergs/sec}} \right)^{0.6} \left( \frac{M_{BH}}{100 M_\odot} \right)^{0.78} \left( \frac{d}{10 \text{kpc}} \right)^{-2}$$

We note that the relation found by Falcke et al. (2004), which considered only flat spectrum, low luminosity radio sources like those we expect to see in the centers of dwarf galaxies or globular clusters, is consistent with this relation within the uncertainties.

We then take the numerical data for the globular cluster's masses and distances from the Harris catalogue (Harris 1996), compute the X-ray luminosity under the assumptions given above for the gas density, fraction of the Bondi-Hoyle accretion rate, and radiative efficiency, and convert the X-ray luminosity into the radio luminosity using the fundamental plane relation. The typical flux values predicted are less than 40  $\mu\text{Janskys}$ , even with the accretion rate set to  $10^{-2}$  of the Bondi rate, with the exception of that for Omega Cen, where the cluster is quite large and reasonably close (see the tabulation of results in Maccarone 2004).

### 3 OBSERVATIONAL DATA

Omega Cen was observed with ATCA simultaneously at 4.8 and 8.6 GHz over 12hr on 2004 May 8. The array was in the '6C' configuration, with baselines up to 6km. Data reduction was performed with the MIRIAD software package (Sault, Teuben & Wright 1995). Flux and bandpass calibration was performed on PKS 1934-638, and the phase calibrator was 1315-46 (SUMSS J131829-462034). No source was detected (limits given below).

We also consider the upper limits on the flux from a central black hole in M 15 based on the deep VLA observations of Kulkarni et al. (1990) and Johnston et al. (1991). These observations were made at 1.4 GHz and reached a noise level of 43  $\mu\text{Jy}$ . Finally, we also consider the NVSS detection of a 7.1 mJy radio source within the  $1\sigma$  error box of the center of the Ursa Minor dwarf spheroidal galaxy.

### 4 COMPARISON OF OBSERVATIONS WITH PREDICTIONS

#### 4.1 Omega Cen

The ATCA data showed no sources within 10 arcseconds of the center of Omega Cen. In naturally weighted maps, the rms noise was 57  $\mu\text{Jy}$  at 8.6 GHz, and 38  $\mu\text{Jy}$  at 4.8 GHz. We assumed a flat (i.e.  $f_\nu \sim \text{const}$ ) spectrum (as is likely for a low luminosity accreting black hole) in order to combine the data sets and reduce the noise. After doing so, the noise level becomes 32  $\mu\text{Jy}$ , giving a  $3\sigma$  upper limit of just under 100  $\mu\text{Jy}$ . For what seems to be the most likely set of parameters - a gas density which is the same as that in M 15 and 47 Tuc, an accretion rate of 0.1% of the Bondi rate, and a black hole mass of 0.1% of the cluster's stellar mass - the prediction of Maccarone (2004), using the methodology outlined in section 2, was that the radio flux should be about 0.15 mJy.

That no detection is made while the predicted level value is  $4.5\sigma$  should not as yet be taken as evidence against the hypothesis that IMBHs can form in globular clusters. There are several factors of  $\sim$  a few that could be contributing scatter in the theoretical predictions - most notably the gas density and temperature, but also the scatter in the fundamental plane relations of MHD and FKM, and possible scatter in the black hole mass-globular cluster mass relation. We can say with reasonable confidence that there cannot be both a black hole with a mass of 1/1000 of the cluster mass and accretion at  $\sim 10^{-2}$  of the Bondi rate (considered to be the likely upper limit of the accretion rate in the work of Perna et al. 2003), as the predicted level of radio emission in that case would be more than 20 times the observed level. Still, in order to make a strong statement about whether Omega Cen contains an IMBH, we would need either a detection, or an upper limit about 10 times lower than the current upper limit. Since Omega Cen is far south of the declinations which can be reached with the VLA, this does not seem likely unless and until the Square Kilometer Array is built in the Southern Hemisphere.

#### 4.2 M 15

Given that Omega Cen is by far the best candidate in the Southern Hemisphere for detecting an intermediate mass black hole in a globular cluster, and that it seems unlikely that it will provide useful limits without new instrumentation, we turn our attention to the Northern Hemisphere. Since M 15 had been claimed to show evidence for a  $\sim 2000 M_\odot$  black hole, and has been the subject of deep radio observations to search for pulsars, we consider it as well. The  $3\sigma$  upper limit on the flux from the center of M 15 is 130  $\mu\text{Jy}$  (Johnston et al. 1991). Since the nearest "bright" source, AC 211, is about 2.2 arcseconds away, and the data was taken in VLA A configuration, with 1.4 arcsecond resolution, confusion is not likely to be a major problem here, as can be verified from looking at the image of M 15 presented in Johnston et al. (1991). Furthermore, M 15 is a core collapse globular cluster, so its central position is quite well defined. We again assume that the putative IMBH should have a flat spectrum, and that hence its radio flux should be below about 130  $\mu\text{Jy}$  at 5 GHz as well as at 1.4 GHz. This flux level is already well above that predicted in Maccarone (2004), even for accretion at  $10^{-2}$  of the Bondi rate. On the other hand, the predicted radio luminosity in the model of Maccarone (2004) scales roughly as  $M^{3.2}$ , and since the claims exist for a black hole much larger than 1/1000 of the cluster mass (i.e. a 2500  $M_\odot$  black hole has been claimed, while 1/1000 the cluster mass is only about 440  $M_\odot$ ), we can come close to making a useful prediction about the black hole mass with these data. These data rule out a black hole more massive than about 600  $M_\odot$ , provided that the systematic factors discussed above are small.

Since the gas density is well measured in M 15 from pulsars, one source of uncertainty can be eliminated. Given that a large fraction of the scatter in the MHD relation probably comes from the inclusion of some steep spectrum radio sources (which any BH accreting from the ISM of a globular cluster is very unlikely to be), and that the more restrictive sample of FKM shows somewhat less scatter despite imposing a theoretical mass-X-ray-radio relation rather than fitting to the data, it seems likely that even this scatter is not too large. We are cautious about interpreting the current upper limits as evidence against a  $\sim 2000 M_\odot$  black hole in M 15, but we note that this upper limit, unlike the upper limit on the radio luminosity of Omega Cen, can easily be reduced by looking at higher frequency (where the VLA is more sensitive), for longer

## 4 Maccarone, Fender & Tzioumis

integrations. A factor of 5 improvement of the upper limit could be obtained in a quite reasonable 4 hour integration, or in a few years with a much shorter integration from the Expanded VLA (EVLA). The project to expand the MERLIN array should also result in the capability to reach similar flux limits.

### 4.3 Ursa Minor dwarf

The Ursa Minor dwarf spheroidal galaxy is one of the closest dwarf galaxies to the Earth, at a distance of about 66 kpc. It is one of the largest of the Milky Way's dwarf spheroidal galaxies in terms of mass, with an estimated mass of  $2.3 \times 10^7 M_\odot$  (see Mateo 1998 and references within for the properties of the Milky Way's dwarf galaxies, including all the data on dwarf spheroidal galaxies' masses and distances used in this paper). The NVSS survey (Condon et al. 1998) indicates the presence of a 7.1 mJy source 20 arcseconds from the center of the Ursa Minor dwarf. While this may seem rather far from the galaxy's center, we note that this is only 0.02 core radii for this galaxy, and only  $1 \sigma$  from the measured central position of the galaxy, using the method of Webbink (1985) to estimate the uncertainties. We note that this is an inherent uncertainty in the galaxy's center when measured from stellar light due to the finite number of bright stars.

Recent work has suggested that the dwarf spheroidal galaxies might actually have black holes substantially larger than 1/1000 of their total mass, if they are the remnants of Population III stars and they began accreting rapidly soon after forming, and contributed to re-ionizing the universe (Ricotti & Ostriker 2004). Given this suggestion, we have repeated the calculations of Maccarone (2004), for black holes of 0.1%, 1% and 10% of the galaxy's mass. We retain the assumption made for globular clusters that the gas density should be about  $0.15 \text{ H cm}^{-3}$ . This is likely to be an overestimate, as the dwarf spheroidal galaxies are less dense than globular clusters and their gas should be more spread out, but we note that at the present, the constraints on gas densities of dwarf spheroidal galaxies are rather poor (see e.g. Gallagher et al. 2003). As we find that for a fraction of the Bondi rate of  $10^{-2}$  the radio flux levels are all substantially higher than could possibly be allowed by the observations, we make calculations for only  $10^{-3}$  of the Bondi rate. We find that the three predicted radio fluxes are 1.7 mJy, 510 mJy, and 97 Jy, respectively. The predicted X-ray luminosities are  $7 \times 10^{34}$  ergs/sec,  $5 \times 10^{37}$  ergs/sec, and  $1.5 \times 10^{40}$  ergs/sec. We note that *Einstein* observed the Ursa Minor dwarf for about 5.5 kiloseconds, and detected no sources with positions coincident with the NVSS source. The upper limit of those observations was  $\sim 10^{35}$  ergs/sec, if at the distance of the Ursa Minor galaxy (Markert & Donahue 1985; see also ROSAT upper limits at a similar flux level from Zang & Meurs 2001). These data are thus all in accord with a black hole of about  $40000 M_\odot$ ; if the gas density is  $\sim 30$  times lower than in the globular clusters, then a black hole mass about 4-5 times as large can be accommodated.

#### 4.3.1 The other dwarf spheroidal galaxies

To determine the false source probability, we have also looked at the NVSS catalog around the center of the Ursa Minor galaxy with a search radius of 20 arcminutes. In this region, 20 sources are found, leaving a spurious source probability of about 5% under the assumption that any source within 1 arcminute of the center of the galaxy (the  $3\sigma$  error circle) would be a candidate central IMBH. We argue that there are few hidden trials here by noting that we

would not expect to detect most of the other Milky Way dwarf spheroidals; scaling the flux from the Ursa Minor dwarf galaxy, the only other dwarf spheroidal galaxies massive enough and near enough and far enough North to be detected in the NVSS are those in Draco, Sagittarius, Sextans and Fornax, and none of these are detected. The other four Milky Way dwarf spheroidal galaxies are those in Sculptor and Carina (which are too far south to have been observed by the VLA); and Leo I and Leo II, which are at distances of 205 and 250 kpc, respectively, and have masses of about half the mass and the same mass of the Ursa Minor, respectively, leading to fluxes expected to be about 100 times and about 15 times lower than Ursa Minor's, assuming that  $L \propto M^{3.2}$ , as explained above.

Draco's flux would be expected to be a factor of about 2 lower than that of the Ursa Minor dwarf because of its greater distance (82 vs 66 kpc), while the Sextans galaxy is further than Draco (86 kpc) and less massive (by about 20%), their expected fluxes are thus pushed into the region where the NVSS suffers from serious incompleteness effects. The expected flux of Sagittarius would be quite hard to determine; if the mass is assumed just to be the mass in M 54, the globular cluster-like object thought to be the core of the Sagittarius dwarf galaxy, then the calculation of Maccarone (2004) is valid and the expected flux should be only a few microJanskys. If, on the other hand, one scales from the mass of the dwarf, then the fact that this galaxy is in the process of being tidally destroyed makes estimating its mass quite difficult. Furthermore, the gas in the Sagittarius galaxy is likely to have been stripped during its ongoing encounter with the Milky Way. The Fornax dwarf is more problematic; assuming that galaxy mass and distance are the only important parameters, this galaxy should actually have a brighter radio source than does Ursa Minor by a factor of about 3 (it is about 3 times as massive and about twice as far away). No detection was made in the NVSS within about 2 arcminutes of the galaxy's center, but a factor of 3 in flux is well within the uncertainties of our calculations. Furthermore, we note that the hidden trial problem is less severe than 3 (to account for the reasonable chance of detecting a radio source in the centers of Ursa Minor, Draco & Fornax) times 5% (the probability of detecting a source by chance in the  $3\sigma$  error circle of the Ursa Minor galaxy); the Ursa Minor galaxy has an error radius for its center about ten times larger than those of Fornax and Draco, the two other best candidates (largely because it has a much lower core stellar density, so a small number of bright stars can affect its center-of-light location much more); the false detection probabilities in those galaxies are close to zero, so searching the other two galaxies adds almost nothing to the probability of finding a source by chance. We thus believe that the central IMBH hypothesis is more likely than the background AGN hypothesis, but only marginally so, and that the best way to make this identification more secure is by localizing it well enough to identify a unique optical counterpart to the radio source, and then to take a spectrum of that object.

## 5 CONCLUSIONS

At present, we have shown merely that stricter observational upper limits can be placed on the maximum mass of a possible accreting black hole in globular clusters through measurements made in the radio than through measurements made in the X-rays. Under the assumptions which most strictly constrain the presence of intermediate mass black holes without violating other observational constraints, we can rule out the presence of a black hole in Omega Cen larger than about  $20 M_\odot$  - that is we can rule out the presence

# Upper Limits on Central Black Hole Masses of Globular Clusters from Radio Emission and a Possible Black Hole Detection

of an intermediate mass black hole. Under more realistic assumptions, though, we cannot rule out a black hole of a few hundred solar masses.

One other additional caveat is that that accreted gas might have some angular momentum and hence might form an accretion disk. In this case, gas might pile up at some outer radius, and then undergo outbursts, much like the soft X-ray transients in the Milky Way. In this case, the assumption we have made that the instantaneous accretion rate equals the time averaged accretion rate would be violated, and substantially lower X-ray and radio luminosities might be expected most of the time than those we have predicted. However, the interstellar gas would have to cool down and condense in order to form an accretion disk. This seems to be plausible in galaxies such as the Milky Way, where there may exist a thin disk as a remnant from a past strong accretion episode (Nayakshin 2003), but seems less likely in a globular cluster where it is thought that any IMBHs would have grown through mergers rather than accretion.

Upper limits which would truly constrain the theoretical suggestions of Miller & Hamilton (2002) are likely to come only with the Square Kilometer Array, or possibly from extremely deep observations made by the High Sensitivity Array or the Very Large Array. In Maccarone (2004), the 15 best candidates for detecting an intermediate mass black hole through its radio emission; 13 of these are in the Southern Hemisphere, including the 6 best candidates. If the detection of intermediate mass black holes in globular clusters is considered a key science goal of SKA, then this provides a strong justification for locating the array south of the equator.

One of the key causes for systematic uncertainty in these measurements is the uncertainty in the gas density in any globular cluster or gas poor galaxy which does not contain a large number of pulsars. While it is comforting to note that the two globular clusters which contain large number of pulsars have gas densities in close agreement with the predictions of stellar mass loss (Freire et al. 2001), passages through the plane of the Galaxy can strip the clusters of most or all of their gas, so this will not necessarily be the case in all stellar systems. In the ROSAT era, X-ray images were obtained of two of the Milky Way's dwarf spheroidal galaxies in order to find background quasars, primarily in order to establish an extragalactic reference frame for astrometric studies of these galaxies, but it was also noted that these objects might be useful as probes of the interstellar medium in the dwarf galaxies, in much the same way that high redshift quasars are used to study the Lyman  $\alpha$  forest (Tinney, Da Costa & Zinnecker 1997), but it seems that this technique as not yet produced any detections of gas. If this technique can be taken better advantage of, then it should be possible to convert upper limits on radio emission from the cores of the dwarf galaxies directly into mass upper limits in the same way as we have done for the globular clusters; at the present time, it would be premature to do so, given the greater uncertainties in the dwarf galaxies' gas densities. It should be noted that one dwarf galaxy, that in Sculptor (i.e. the closest one) does have a substantial gas content (Bouchard, Carignan & Maschenko 2003), with a central density at least  $10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  in neutral gas, and higher concentrations off center, as the gas distribution is asymmetric. The suggestion above that the gas density might be about 1/30 of that in the globular clusters is thus in reasonable agreement with the data for the one galaxy with the best observational constraints.

We have found suggestive evidence for an intermediate mass black hole in the Ursa Minor dwarf spheroidal galaxy. This should be followed up first in X-rays, to determine whether its "radio mass," to use the terminology of Merloni et al. (2003) is of order

$10^4 M_{\odot}$  before a strong claim of a detection is made, and an optical counterpart should be searched for as well. Since the gas density is probably a lower in this galaxy than in a typical globular cluster, the true mass may be higher than suggested by the observed radio luminosity in the context of our calculation, and hence closer to the  $10^6 M_{\odot}$  suggested by Riccotti & Ostriker (2004). If an X-ray source in the  $10^{33} - 10^{35}$  ergs/sec range is found, the case for an intermediate mass black hole would be strengthened dramatically, and X-ray luminosities in the lower end of that range would be most likely to indicate the highest mass black holes.

## 6 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dave Meier for pointing out that SKA could be especially useful for future work in this area. We also are grateful to the referee Heino Falcke for useful suggestions which have helped improve the clarity and content of this paper, and to Eva Grebel for brief but useful discussions of the gas content of dwarf spheroidal galaxies.

## REFERENCES

- Bahcall, J.N. & Ostriker, J.P., 1975, *Nature*, 256, 23
- Bondi, H., Hoyle, F., 1944, *MNRAS*, 104, 273
- Bower, G.C., Wright, M.C.H., Falcke, H. & Backer, D.C., 2003, *ApJ*, 588, 331
- Condon, J.J., et al. 1998, *AJ*, 115, 1693
- Falcke, H. & Biermann, P.L., 1996, *A&A*, 308, 321
- Falcke, H. & Biermann, P.L., 1999, *A&A*, 342, 49
- Falcke, H., K rding, E. & Markoff, S., 2004, *A&A*, 414, 895
- Favata, M., Hughes, S.A. & Holz, D.E., 2004, *ApJL*, 607, 5L
- Fender, R.P., Gallo, E. & Jonker, P.G., 2003, *MNRAS*, 343, 99L
- Fillipenko, A.V. & Ho, L.C., 2003, *ApJL*, 588, 13
- Freire, P.C., Kramer, M., Lyne, A.G., Camilo, F., Manchester, R.N. & D'Amico, N., 2001, *ApJL*, 557, 105
- Fryer, C.L., Woosley, S.E., & Heger, A., 2001, *apJ*, 550, 372
- Gallagher, J.S., Madsen, G.J., Reynolds, R.J., Grebel, E.K. & Smecker-Hane, T.A., 2003, *ApJ*, 588, 326
- Gallo, E., Fender, R.P. & Pooley, G.G., 2003, *MNRAS*, 344, 60
- Gerssen, J., van der Marel, R.P., Gebhardt, K., Guhathakutra, P., Peterson, R.C., Pryor, C., 2002, *AJ*, 124, 3270
- Gerssen, J., van der Marel, R.P., Gebhardt, K., Guhathakutra, P., Peterson, R.C., Pryor, C., 2003, *AJ*, 125, 376
- Gnedin, O., Zhao, H., Pringle, J.E., Fall, S.M., Livio, M. & Meylan, G., 2002, *ApJL*, 568, L23
- Greene, J.E. & Ho, L.C., 2004,
- Grindlay, J.E., Heinke, C., Edmonds, R.D., Murray, S.S., 2001, *Science*, 292, 2290
- G rkan, M.A., Freitag, M., Rasio, F.A., 2004, *ApJ*, 604, 632
- Harris, W.E. 1996, *AJ*, 112, 1487
- Ho, L.C., Terashima, Y., Okajima, T., 2003, *ApJL*, 587, 35
- Ichimaru, S., 1977, *ApJ*, 214, 840
- Johnston, H.M., Kulkarni, S.R., Goss, W.M., 1991, *ApJL*, 382, 89L
- Kulkarni, S., R., Goss, W.M., Wolszczan, A. & Middleditch, J., 1990, *ApJL*, 363, L5
- Maccarone, T.J., 2004, *MNRAS*, 351, 1049
- Madau, P. & Quataert, E., 2004, *ApJL*, 606, 17
- Markert, T.H. & Donahue, M.E., 1985, *ApJ*, 297, 564
- Mateo, M., 1998, *ARA&A*, 36, 435
- Merloni, A., 2004, *MNRAS*, in press
- Merloni, A., Heinz, S. & Di Matteo, T., 2003, *MNRAS*, 345, 1057
- Merritt, D., Milosavljevic, M., Favata, M., Hughes, S.A., & Holz, D.E., 2004, *ApJL*, 607, 9
- Miller, J.M., Fabian, A.C. & Miller, M.C., 2004, *ApJ*, 607, 931

## 6 *Maccarone, Fender & Tzioumis*

- Miller, M.C., Hamilton, D.P., 2002, MNRAS, 330, 232
- Narayan, R. & Yi, I., 1994, ApJL, 428, 13L
- Nayakshin, S., 2003, ANS, 324, 483
- Perna, R., Narayan, R., Rybicki, G., Stella, L., Treves, A., 2003, ApJ, 594, 936
- Portegies Zwart, S.F. & McMillan, S.L.W., 2000, ApJL, 528, 17L
- Portegies Zwart, S.F. & McMillan, S.L.W., 2002, ApJ, 576, 899
- Portegies Zwart, S.F., Baumgardt, H., Hut, P., Makino, J. & McMillan, S.L.W., 2004, Nature, 428, 724
- Ricotti, M. & Ostriker, J.P., 2004, MNRAS, 352, 547
- Sault R.J., Teuben P.J., Wright M.C.H., 1995, In: Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems IV, eds. Shaw R., Payne H.E., Hayes J.J.E., ASP conf. ser. 77, 433
- Tinney, C.G., Da Costa, G.S., Zinnecker, H., 1997, MNRAS, 285, 111
- Quataert, E. & Gruzinov, A., 2000, ApJ, 539, 809
- Strohmayer, T.E. & Mushotzky, R.F., 2003, ApJL, 586, 61
- Webbink, R.F., 1985, IAU Symp. 113, "Dynamics of Star Clusters," 541 (Kluwer:Dordrecht)
- Zang, Z. & Meurs, E.J.A., 2001, ApJ, 556, 24